

Disclaimer

This webcast contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication. DTTL and each of its member firms, and their related entities, are legally separate and independent entities.



Impact of cash flow settlement arrangements in accounting for reinsurance contracts

Francesco Nagari, Deloitte Global IFRS Insurance Leader | April 2022

Agenda


- Impact of cash flow settlement arrangements on reinsurance contract issued
 - Gross vs. net settlement
 - IFRS 17 requirements
- Illustrative example
- Impact of net cash flow settlement arrangements on reinsurance contract held
- Practical considerations

Impact of cash flow settlement arrangements on reinsurance contracts issued

Gross vs. net settlement


Below are the two commonly observed settlement arrangements in the reinsurance market:

Gross settlement basis



Premiums cash flows are settled separately from claims cash flows and other contractual cash flows such as profit commission.

Net settlement basis



All contractual amounts are settled on a net basis at a periodic date stipulated in the contract. Only a net cash flows is exchanged between the parties.

Impact of cash flow settlement arrangements on reinsurance contracts issued

IFRS 17 requirements



IFRS 17:B120

The total insurance revenue for a group of insurance contracts is the amount of **consideration paid to the entity**, adjusted for financing effect and investment components¹.



IFRS 17:86(a)

reinsurance amounts that are contingent on claims should be treated as **part of the claims**;



IFRS 17:86(b)

reinsurance amounts that are not contingent on claims should be treated as a **reduction in the premiums** to be paid to the reinsurer;

The requirements set out in IFRS 17:86 also applies to reinsurance contracts issued

¹ Amount that is repayable in all circumstances.

Illustrative example

Accounting for and presentation of income and expenses of reinsurance contracts issued

Fact pattern:

- Reinsurer B issues a non-cancellable quota share reinsurance contract, which includes a provision for the payment of a profit commission to Cedant X if certain conditions are met.
- The cash flows are net settled at the end of each agreement year ending 31 March.
- Reinsurer B calculates the profit commission to be equal to 20% of premiums in excess of actual incurred claims. If actual incurred claims exceed premiums for the year, the profit commission is nil.

Illustrative example

Accounting for and presentation of income and expenses of reinsurance contracts issued

We set out below some of the possible scenarios for cash flows on the reinsurance contract issued at the time of initial recognition:

Description / scenarios	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cash inflows - Premiums	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000
Cash outflows							
Claims	0	(200)	(600)	(800)	(1,000)	(1,200)	(2,000)
Profit commission	(200)	(160)	(80)	(40)	0	0	0
Net cash flows	800	640	320	160	0	(200)	(1,000)
Amount notionally repaid in all scenarios (NDIC*)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)

Assumed actual event at end of reporting period

*non-distinct investment component



Accounting issue:


What is the impact of the cash flow settlement arrangements in the accounting and presentation of the reinsurer's income and expenses relating to reinsurance contracts issued?

Illustrative example

Accounting for and presentation of income and expenses of reinsurance contracts issued

In a **gross settlement** scenario, if the actual cash flows at the end of the first agreement year are:

	CU
Cash inflows (premiums)	1,000
Cash outflows:	
Claims	800
Profit commission	40
	840



...then the insurance service result for the same period (assumed to coincide with the contract annual settlement period) would be presented as follows:

Statement of profit or loss

Insurance revenue

Insurance service expenses

Insurance service result

CU

800

640

160


The NDIC of CU 200 **adjusts** the insurance revenue and insurance service expenses.

Illustrative example

Accounting for and presentation of reinsurance contracts issued

In a **net settlement** scenario, the cash flows would be:

		CU
Premiums amount		1,000
Claims amount	800	
Profit commission amount	40	(840)
Net settlement cash flow		160



In any scenario, the reinsurer will never receive CU200 of the notional premium if the contractual amounts are settled on a net basis.

Description / scenarios	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cash inflows - Premiums	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000	CU1,000
Cash outflows							
Claims	0	(200)	(600)	(800)	(1,000)	(1,200)	(2,000)
Profit commission	(200)	(160)	(80)	(40)	0	0	0
Net cash flows	800	640	320	160	0	(200)	(1,000)
Amount notionally repaid in all scenarios (NDIC)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)

Illustrative example

Accounting for and presentation of reinsurance contracts issued

The insurance service result would be presented as follows:

CU 200 is notional NDIC and therefore, it is excluded from insurance revenue:

	<u>CU</u>
Notional premium	1,000
Notional NDIC	(200)
Insurance revenue	800

Statement of profit or loss		CU
Insurance revenue		800
Insurance service expenses		(640)
Insurance service result		160

In a CU 800 level of notional claims, insurance service expenses is calculated:

	<u>CU</u>
Notional claims	800
Policy excess (notional NDIC)	(200)
Commission amount	40
Insurance service expenses	640

Illustrative example

Impact of net cash flow settlement arrangement on reinsurance contract held

Accordingly, equal and opposite amount of cost of reinsurance and reinsurance recoveries will be recognised by the cedant in a **net settlement scenario**:

-  The amount due from the cedant is CU 800 given the presence of the notional NDIC (i.e. the policy excess) of CU 200 that will be “credited” back to the cedant under all circumstances.
-  CU 200 is not contingent on claims and therefore, will be treated as an adjustment to the amount for premiums, decreasing the cost of reinsurance in P&L accordingly.
-  The notional NDIC of CU 200 operates as a policy excess and is never a cost for reinsurance or income from reinsurance recoveries.
-  Claim recoveries shall be equal to amount of notional claims incurred *less* the excess of CU 200 *plus* notional profit commission amount.

Statement of profit or loss	CU
Reinsurance cost	800
Reinsurance recoveries	(640)
Net reinsurance cost	160

Practical considerations

Some practical considerations when implementing the requirements of IFRS 17:

- Consider the impact of net cash flow settlement arrangements when redefining the key performance indicators (KPIs), e.g. revenue-based KPIs, under IFRS 17 given the impact on both the insurance revenue and insurance service expenses
- The possibility for reinsurers to prefer negotiating a revision to existing reinsurance arrangements given the implication of having a net cash flow settlement arrangements for the company's financials under IFRS 17
- Configure posting rules in the IFRS 17 sub-ledger to allow for the required accounting and presentation of reinsurance amounts (e.g. profit commissions as NDIC, or as adjustments to reinsurance expenses) in the statement of profit or loss

Contact details


Francesco Nagari

Deloitte Global IFRS Insurance Leader

+852 2852 1977 or frnagari@deloitte.com.hk

Keep connected on IFRS Insurance with Deloitte:

- [Follow](#) my latest  posts @francesco-nagari-deloitte-ifs17
- Follow me @Nagarif on 
- [Subscribe](#) to the Insights into IFRS Insurance Channel on 
- [Connect](#) to Deloitte's IFRS Insurance Group on  for all the latest IFRS news
- Add Deloitte Insights into IFRS Insurance (i2ii) at www.deloitte.com/i2ii to your internet favourites
- Visit:
 - IAS Plus [IAS Plus — IFRS, global financial reporting and accounting resources](#)
 - Deloitte Accounting Research Tool [Home](#) | [DART – Deloitte Accounting Research Tool](#)





About Deloitte

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms, and their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”). DTTL (also referred to as “Deloitte Global”) and each of its member firms and related entities are legally separate and independent entities, which cannot obligate or bind each other in respect of third parties. DTTL and each DTTL member firm and related entity is liable only for its own acts and omissions, and not those of each other. DTTL does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more.

Deloitte is a leading global provider of audit and assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services. Our global network of member firms and related entities in more than 150 countries and territories (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies. Learn how Deloitte’s approximately 345,000 people make an impact that matters at www.deloitte.com.

Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited is a company limited by guarantee and a member firm of DTTL. Members of Deloitte Asia Pacific Limited and their related entities, each of which are separate and independent legal entities, provide services from more than 100 cities across the region, including Auckland, Bangkok, Beijing, Hanoi, Hong Kong, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Melbourne, Osaka, Seoul, Shanghai, Singapore, Sydney, Taipei and Tokyo.

The Deloitte brand entered the China market in 1917 with the opening of an office in Shanghai. Today, Deloitte China delivers a comprehensive range of audit & assurance, consulting, financial advisory, risk advisory and tax services to local, multinational and growth enterprise clients in China. Deloitte China has also made—and continues to make—substantial contributions to the development of China’s accounting standards, taxation system and professional expertise. Deloitte China is a locally incorporated professional services organization, owned by its partners in China. To learn more about how Deloitte makes an Impact that Matters in China, please connect with our social media platforms at www2.deloitte.com/cn/en/social-media.

This communication contains general information only, and none of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (“DTTL”), its global network of member firms or their related entities (collectively, the “Deloitte organization”) is, by means of this communication, rendering professional advice or services. Before making any decision or taking any action that may affect your finances or your business, you should consult a qualified professional adviser.

No representations, warranties or undertakings (express or implied) are given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this communication, and none of DTTL, its member firms, related entities, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible for any loss or damage whatsoever arising directly or indirectly in connection with any person relying on this communication. DTTL and each of its member firms, and their related entities, are legally separate and independent entities.